

## The Role of Insurance Policy in the Ayurvedic Medicine System: Toward Financial Protection, Evidence Generation, and Health System Integration

Jai Kiran Kini<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rognidan, Dr G D Pol Foundation YMT Ayurvedic Medical College And Hospital, Navi Mumbai, India  
Email : drjaikini@ymtayurvedcollege.org

Submission : 24.05.2026

Publication : 26.06.2026

[https://www.doi.org/10.56136/YIJASM/2026\\_26601](https://www.doi.org/10.56136/YIJASM/2026_26601)

### Abstract

Insurance policy increasingly functions as a determinant of healthcare accessibility, legitimacy, and system integration. Within the Ayurvedic medicine system, insurance coverage has emerged as an important policy mechanism that may influence service utilization, institutional development, clinical standardization, and patient access. In India and other pluralistic health systems, expanding financial coverage for traditional medicine raises important questions regarding evidence generation, quality assurance, cost-effectiveness, and equitable access. This editorial examines the role of insurance policy in Ayurveda through the lens of health financing and health systems integration. While insurance inclusion may improve financial protection and strengthen institutional accountability, its long-term success depends on the development of evidence-informed reimbursement models, standardized quality frameworks, and outcomes-based evaluation. Policy development should emphasize scientific rigor, patient safety, and sustainable integration consistent with universal health coverage goals.

**Keywords:** Ayurveda; Health Insurance; AYUSH; Universal Health Coverage; Integrative Medicine; Health Policy

### Introduction

Healthcare financing policies influence not only who receives care but also which systems of medicine become institutionally recognized and accessible. Insurance coverage has historically favored biomedical models of care, while traditional systems have frequently remained dependent on direct out-of-pocket expenditure.

Ayurveda occupies a distinctive position within India's pluralistic health system. Despite sustained public utilization and state support, integration into formal financing arrangements has evolved more slowly than regulatory recognition and service expansion<sup>(1,2)</sup>. Contemporary policy discussions increasingly consider whether insurance can function as a mechanism for expanding access to Ayurvedic services while simultaneously promoting accountability and evidence-based practice.

The relationship between insurance and Ayurveda therefore extends beyond reimbursement; it represents a broader policy question concerning how traditional medicine participates in modern health systems.

### Insurance Coverage as a Mechanism for Expanding Access

Financial protection is a central objective of contemporary health systems. Out-of-pocket expenditure remains a major contributor to healthcare-related financial hardship in low- and middle-income settings<sup>(3)</sup>.

Evidence from nationally representative Indian datasets demonstrates that AYUSH utilization continues to represent a meaningful component of healthcare-seeking behavior across socioeconomic groups<sup>(4,5)</sup>. Individuals seek Ayurvedic services for multiple reasons, including perceived lower adverse effects, cultural familiarity, chronic disease management, and holistic approaches to care<sup>(6)</sup>.

Insurance inclusion may therefore reduce financial barriers and formalize access pathways. Coverage mechanisms can encourage patients to seek care through regulated institutions

rather than informal markets. This transition may improve continuity of care, treatment documentation, and accountability.

International discussions surrounding traditional medicine increasingly recognize financing arrangements as necessary components of integration into universal health coverage frameworks<sup>(6,7)</sup>.

### Insurance Policy and Institutional Legitimization of Ayurveda

Insurance policy performs an institutional function by signaling which interventions and providers meet accepted standards of care.

Historical analyses of Ayurveda have shown that modern institutional development frequently occurs through processes of professionalization, standardization, and engagement with market and regulatory systems<sup>(8,9)</sup>. Insurance participation introduces additional expectations related to treatment protocols, clinical records, cost transparency, and measurable outcomes.

Such developments may strengthen institutional credibility while creating opportunities for quality improvement. Standardized reimbursement structures can incentivize documentation practices, accreditation procedures, and structured clinical pathways.

At the same time, policy makers should recognize that excessive standardization may unintentionally reduce the individualized therapeutic philosophy traditionally associated with Ayurvedic practice<sup>(10)</sup>.

### Challenges in Aligning Ayurveda with Insurance Models

The integration of Ayurveda into insurance systems presents several methodological and operational challenges.

Insurance reimbursement traditionally relies on predictable diagnostic categories and measurable treatment outcomes. However, Ayurvedic clinical reasoning often incorporates individualized assessment frameworks that may not align directly with conventional reimbursement approaches<sup>(11)</sup>.

Evidence generation remains another challenge. Contemporary scholarship has emphasized the need for rigorous clinical evaluation and whole-systems research methodologies appropriate for traditional medicine<sup>(12,13)</sup>. Conventional randomized models may not always capture individualized therapeutic approaches; however, the absence of robust evidence can complicate insurer decision-making.

Economic evaluation also remains underdeveloped. Comparative effectiveness studies, health technology assessment, and cost-effectiveness analyses are needed to determine where Ayurvedic interventions may provide value within publicly and privately financed systems<sup>(14)</sup>.

Quality assurance represents an additional concern. Insurance expansion without accreditation and governance mechanisms may increase variability in treatment delivery and patient experience.

### Insurance Policy and Universal Health Coverage

Universal health coverage emphasizes equitable access, quality services, and financial risk protection.

Several scholars have argued that AYUSH systems may contribute to health coverage objectives when integration is accompanied by evidence generation, governance, and service quality safeguards<sup>(15)</sup>. Insurance policy can support this objective by establishing transparent eligibility criteria, encouraging interdisciplinary care models, and reducing financial barriers.

Future policy frameworks should prioritize:

- evidence-informed reimbursement pathways;
- standardized documentation and outcome reporting;
- accreditation and quality monitoring;
- real-world effectiveness research;
- inclusion of patient-reported outcomes and economic evaluations.

Insurance policy should not serve as a substitute for scientific evaluation. Rather, it should create incentives for generating stronger evidence while enabling patient-centered healthcare choices.

### Conclusion

Insurance policy increasingly influences the evolution of healthcare systems by shaping access, legitimacy, and accountability. Within Ayurveda, insurance expansion presents opportunities to reduce financial barriers, strengthen institutional quality, and support integration into broader health system goals.

However, sustainable implementation requires careful balancing of traditional therapeutic principles with contemporary expectations for evidence, safety, and measurable outcomes. Insurance should be viewed not as an endpoint for recognition, but as a policy tool that encourages responsible integration and continuous evaluation.

**Copyright** © 2026 YMT International Journal of Ayurvedic System of Medicine. This is an open access article, it is free for all to read, download, copy, distribute, adapt and permitted to reuse under Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial-ShareAlike: CC BY-NC-SABY 4.0 license.

**Source of Support:** Nil

**Conflict of Interest:** Nil

### References :

1. Bode M. Taking traditional knowledge to the market: the modern image of the Ayurvedic and Unani industry. *Asian Med.* 2008;4(2):225–248.
2. Albert S, Porter J. Is ‘mainstreaming AYUSH’ the right policy for Meghalaya, northeast India? *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2015;15:288.
3. Xu K, Evans DB, Kawabata K, Zeramdini R, Klavus J, Murray CJL. Household catastrophic health expenditure: a multicountry analysis. *Lancet.* 2003;362(9378):111–117.
4. Rudra S, Kalra A, Kumar A, Joe W. Utilization of alternative systems of medicine as health care services in India: Evidence on AYUSH care from NSS 2014. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(5):e0176916.
5. Chowdhury S, Kundu P. Alternate systems of medicine in India—How pervasive and why? *J Health Manag.* 2018;20(2):185–198.
6. Bodeker G, Ong CK, Grundy C, Burford G, Shein K. *WHO Global Atlas of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine.* Geneva: WHO; 2005.
7. Oyebode O, Kandala NB, Chilton PJ, Lilford RJ. Use of traditional medicine in middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Health Policy Plan.* 2016;31(8):984–999.
8. Islam N. Indigenous medicine as commodity: Local reach of Ayurveda in modern India. *Curr Sociol.* 2010;58(5):777–798.
9. Patwardhan B, Vaidya ADB, Chorghade M. Ayurveda and natural products drug discovery. *Curr Sci.* 2004;86(6):789–799.
10. Mathpati MM, Shankar D, Sarvepalli B. Ayurveda and medicalisation today: The loss of important knowledge and practice in health? *J Ayurveda Integr Med.* 2020;11(1):89–94.
11. Patwardhan B. Bridging Ayurveda with evidence-based scientific approaches in medicine. *EPMA J.* 2014;5:19.
12. Fønnebo V, Grimsgaard S, Walach H, et al. Researching complementary and alternative treatments—the gatekeepers are not at home. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2007;7:7.
13. Patwardhan B, Mutalik G, Tillu G. *Integrative Approaches for Health: Biomedical Research, Ayurveda and Yoga.* Academic Press; 2015.
14. Chalkidou K, Glassman A, Marten R, et al. Priority-setting for achieving universal health coverage. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2016;94(6):462–467.
15. Samal J, Dehury RK. Can the AYUSH system be instrumental in achieving universal health coverage in India? *Indian J Med Ethics.* 2018;3(1):61–65.